Maslowian Portfolio Theory Why Goal Based Investing Makes Sense

Dr. Philippe J.S. De Brouwer

Stochastic analysis and its applications 28.05.2017 - 03.06.2017 Bedlewo



HSBC Disclaimer

Maslowian Portfolio Theory

Dr. Philippe J.S. De Brouwer

Introduction

The Traditional Approach The Core Idea Risk-Reward Methods Coherent Risk Measures MiFID

Examples

Conclusions

This presentation has been prepared by Philippe De Brouwer to talk on a time slot for HSBC Service Delivery Sp z o.o. (HSBC). HSBC accepts no liability whatsoever for any direct, indirect or consequential loss arising from the use of this document. HSBC is under no obligation to keep current the information in this document. You are solely responsible for making your own independent appraisal of and investigations into the data, products, financial instruments and transactions referred to in this document and you should not rely on any information in this document as constituting investment advice. Neither HSBC nor any of its affiliates are responsible for providing you with legal, tax or other specialist advice and you should make your own arrangements in respect of this accordingly. The issuance of and details contained in this document, which is not for public circulation, does not constitute an offer or solicitation for, or advice that you should enter into, the purchase or sale of any security, commodity or other financial instrument or master agreement, or any other contract, agreement or structure whatsoever. This document is intended to be distributed in its entirety. Reproduction of this document, in whole or in part, or disclosure of any of its contents, without prior consent of author, is prohibited.

Outline Maslowian Portfolio Theory Dr. Philippe Introduction J.S. De The Traditional Approach Brouwer The Core Idea Introduction The Traditional **Risk-Reward Methods** Approach The Core Idea Coherent Risk Measures **Risk-Reward** Methods MiFID Coherent Risk Measures MiFID Examples 2 Examples Conclusions 3 Conclusions

Dr. Philippe J.S. De Brouwer

The Traditional Approach The Core Idea Risk-Reward Methods Coherent Risk Measures MiFID

Examples

Conclusions

Introduction

The Traditional Approach



Figure 1: In the traditional approach to investment advice each investor has **one** "risk profile".



Maslowian Portfolio Theory - MaPT The Idea Maslowian Portfolio Theory Dr. Philippe J.S. De Brouwer Introduction Core Idea The Traditional Approach Investments serve a purpose in life. The life-goals are the **Risk-Reward** Methods purpose of the investments, and money is only a means to Coherent Risk Measures attain a life-goal, it is not a goal in itself. MiFID Examples Conclusions

Maslowian Portfolio Theory (MaPT)

Investments should cater for needs

Maslowian Portfolio Theory

Dr. Philippe J.S. De Brouwer

Introduction The Traditional Approach

Risk-Reward Methods Coherent Risk Measures MiFID

Examples

Conclusions

Human Needs	Investments/MaPT
Physiological Needs	liquid/cash
Safety Needs	insurance, retirement savings
Love Needs	mixed portfolios for projects
Esteem Needs	mixed portfolios for projects
Self Actualization	broker account

Table 1: Maslowian Portfolio Theory.

The Maslowian Approach Maslowian Portfolio Theory Dr. Philippe J.S. De Brouwer Introduction The Traditional Approach Risk-Reward Methods Coherent Risk Measures MiFID 1 or more Examples products (funds, etc.) Conclusions Many Profiles, eventually grouped

Figure 2: In the "Maslowian Approach" to investment advice each investor has one risk profile per life-goal.



What else is necessarily involved?

Opening the Box of Pandora ...





Target Oriented Investment Advice (TOIA) The Logic





3 Gaussian Assets

Equities, Bonds and Cash



The Mechanics of a Risk-Reward Method Maslowian Expected Return (Reward) Portfolio Theory Dr. Philippe J.S. De Brouwer P₂ Introduction The Traditional P3 ●P. Approach The Core Idea Coherent Risk Measures MiFID Examples Conclusions Risk

Figure 6: Portfolios in the risk/reward plane. The risk/reward method is simply "dominance" method in the Multi Criteria Decision Analysis.



Some Definitions (1)

Maslowian Portfolio Theory

Dr. Philippe J.S. De Brouwer

Introduction The Traditional Approach The Core Idea Risk-Reward

Methods Coherent Risk

MIFID Examples

Conclusions

definition 1

 \mathcal{P} = the absolute return

definition 2

 σ = standard deviation = \sqrt{VAR}

definition 3 (Value-at-Risk (V@R))

For the stochastic profit variable, absolute return \mathcal{P} , and a probability $\alpha \in [0, 1]$, we define the Value at Risk (V@R):

$$\mathsf{V}@\mathsf{R}_{lpha}(\mathcal{P}) := -\mathsf{Q}_{\mathcal{P}}(lpha)$$

definition 4

 $ES_{\alpha}(\mathcal{P})$ = the average of the α 100% worst outcomes of \mathcal{P}

Some Definitions (2) Visualisation of ES, V@R and σ



Maslowian Portfolio	definition 5 (Cohorent Rick Measure)
Theory	deminition 5 (Conerent hisk measure)
Dr. Philippe	A function $\rho : \mathbb{V} \mapsto \mathbb{R}$ (where \mathbb{V} is the set of real-valued
J.S. De Brouwer	stochastic variables) is called a coherent risk measure if
2.00.00	and only if it is
troduction	
he Traditional pproach	1 monotonous: $\forall X, Y \in \mathbb{V} : X \leq Y \Rightarrow \rho(X) \geq \rho(Y)$
he Core Idea lisk-Reward	2 sub-additive:
lethods Coherent Risk	$\forall \mathbf{X} \mathbf{V} \mathbf{X} + \mathbf{V} \in \mathbb{V} : o(\mathbf{X} + \mathbf{V}) \leq o(\mathbf{X}) + o(\mathbf{V})$
leasures liFID	$\langle \mathbf{X}, \mathbf{T}, \mathbf{X} + \mathbf{T} \in \langle \mathbf{X}, \mathbf{p}(\mathbf{X} + \mathbf{T}) \leq p(\mathbf{X}) + p(\mathbf{T})$
xamples	8 positively homogeneous:
onclusions	$\forall a > 0 \text{ and } \forall X, aX \in \mathbb{V} : \rho(aX) = a\rho(X)$
	A translation invariant:
	$\forall a > 0 \text{ and } \forall X \in \mathbb{V} : \rho(X + a) = \rho(X) - a$

Thinking Coherently—(II) Example for (In)Coherence of Risk Meaures

Maslowian Portfolio Theory

Dr. Philippe J.S. De Brouwer

Introduction

The Traditional Approach The Core Idea Risk-Reward Methods

MiFID

Examples

Conclusions

Example 1

Assume one bond with a 0.7% probability to default in one year in all other cases it pays 105% in one year. The 1% V@R is $-5\% \Rightarrow$ V@R spots **no** risk!

Example 2

Consider two identical bonds with the same parameters, but independently distributed *The 1% V@R of the diversified portfolio is 47.5%!*



Figure 9: ES and V@R in function of α for one bond.

Thinking Coherently—(IV) Convecity (I) Maslowian Portfolio 0.8 Expected Shortfall Theory Value at Risk 0.7 Dr. Philippe J.S. De 0.6 Brouwer 0.5 Introduction The Traditional 0.4 Approach The Core Idea Risk-Reward Methods 0.3 0.2 MiFID 0.1 Examples 999999999 Conclusions 0 -0.10 20 30 40 50 Number of Bonds in Portfolio 10 60 70 Figure 10: ES and V@R in function of number of bonds.



Dr. Philippe J.S. De Brouwer

Introduction

The Traditional Approach The Core Idea Risk-Reward Methods Coherent Risk Measures MiFID

Conclusions

Examples

25/33

Example 3: A Complex Example

Maslowian Portfolio Theory

Dr. Philippe J.S. De Brouwer

Introduction The Traditional Approach The Core Idea Risk-Reward Methods Coherent Risk Measures MiFID

au	Τ	CF	V ₀	lpha	ESm
€ 100,000	1	€0	€ 100,000	0.01	10% (
€ 120,000	5	€0	€ 100,000	0.1	20% (
€200,000	10	€ 10,000	€100,000	0.01	minir
€ 50,000	10	€0	€ 50,000	0.05	€5,0
	 <i>τ</i> € 100,000 € 120,000 € 200,000 € 50,000 	τ T € 100,000 1 € 120,000 5 € 200,000 10 € 50,000 10	τ TCF € 100,0001€ 0€ 120,0005€ 0€ 200,00010€ 10,000€ 50,00010€ 0	τ TCF V_0 $\in 100,000$ 1 $\in 0$ $\in 100,000$ $\in 120,000$ 5 $\in 0$ $\in 100,000$ $\in 200,000$ 10 $\in 10,000$ $\in 100,000$ $\in 50,000$ 10 $\in 0$ $\in 50,000$	$τ$ T CF V_0 $α$ €100,0001€0€100,0000.01€120,0005€0€100,0000.1€200,00010€10,000€100,0000.01€50,00010€0€50,0000.05

Conclusions

Table 2: The investment parameters for in Example 3. The investor wants to invest V_0 (plus annually *CF*) and wants it to grow to τ in *T* years, the expectation of the average of the α 100% worst outcomes is to be limited to ES_{max} .

Example 3: Feedback to Investor

Equities

Goal

Maslowian Portfolio Theory

Dr. Philippe J.S. De Brouwer

Introduction The Traditional

Approach The Core Idea **Risk-Reward** Methods Coherent Risk Measures

MiFID

school	12.8%	24.4%	62.8%	10.8%
yacht	100%	0%	0%	18%
retirement	21%	30%	49%	€ 3161.20
extra	100%	0%	0%	€ 3836.07
total portfolio	50.46%	16.12%	33.42%	

Bonds

40/

Cash

00.00/

Conclusions

Table 3: An overview of the ES-optimal portfolio compositions, as well as their proportion of the total portfolio. In the last two columns one finds respectively the percentage of the sub-portfolio at t = 0 (i.e. at the moment of writing the financial plan), and the Expected Shortfall as obtained after optimization.

27/33

Feedb

add

reduc

is les

ES

ES



Figure 11: An example with four target portfolios.

Dr. Philippe J.S. De Brouwer

Introduction

The Traditional Approach The Core Idea Risk-Reward Methods Coherent Risk Measures MiFID

Examples

Conclusions

29/33

Disadvantages of TOIA

Maslowian Portfolio Theory

Dr. Philippe J.S. De Brouwer

Introduction The Traditional Approach The Core Idea Risk-Reward Methods Coherent Risk

MiFID

Measures

Examples

- 1 portfolios are not necessarily MV-optimal
 - because of mental accounting ... however in a very abstract way (multiple horizons in MaPT/TOIA!)
 - 2 ES used in stead of VAR . . . however this is much more logical, coherent and intuitive
- 2 time consuming for advisers
- **3** computing time intensive to optimize portfolios
- If applied, should be complete all needs should be covered (facilitated by Maslow's framework)
- More research is needed (e.g. efficient investment strategies)

Advantages of TOIA



6 TOIA reduces model risk (diversification within diversification)

Conclusions



Dr. Philippe J.S. De Brouwer

Introduction

The Traditional Approach The Core Idea Risk-Reward Methods Coherent Risk Measures MiFID

Examples

- MaPT puts investing in a frame: the frame of life! Investments not a goal in their own right
- MaPT is valid, normative, coherent, and applicable in practice (e.g. TOIA)
- MaPT and its implementation TOIA have distinctive advantages: they
 - answer to real needs with interpretable parameters
 - Maslow offers a natural language in communication with investors + helps not to forget goals
 - are a rational approach to mitigate some behavioural biases, while other biases are used to help the investor
 - offers diversification within diversification

Dr. Philippe J.S. De Brouwer

Bibliography